

# SCIENTIFIC PAPER

# IN-LINE FILTER AND ENDOTOXIN RETENTION

#### WHY TO USE INFUSION FILTERS?

Filters are available as single add-on devices or as in-line components as an integral part of the administration set. They are used during infusion of intravenous solutions to prevent the administration of particles, air, microorganisms, or endotoxin. [Phillips et al. 2014]

#### BACTERIAL SPECTRUM AND CAUSE OF ENDOTOXIN CONTAMINATION

Sepsis is a multi-factorial process that involves an uncontrolled inflammatory response by the host cells. As a consequence, sepsis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria play a major role in causing sepsis. Most commonly isolated pathogenic bacteria have been gram-positive, although gram-negative organisms have recently become more common. [Riesner et al. 2017, Erfani et al. 2016, Kuenzli et al. 2014, Kaase 2012] Currently, the most commonly isolated causative organisms are gram-negative bacteria and the data are based on epidemiological studies. [Erfani et al. 2016]

This increase in gram-negative bacteria has been accompanied by an increased risk of endotoxin load. [Rockel & Hartung 2012]

Endotoxin is a complex aggregate of acidic lipopolysaccharides and consists of an innermost core of hydrophobic fatty acid groups and a central and outermost region composed of hydrophilic polysaccharides. Endotoxin is continuously shed from the outer membrane of viable gram-negative bacteria and is released when the bacterial cell dies. Endotoxins have serious effects on the inflammatory and coagulation system. The presence of endotoxins in blood can provoke septic shock if there is a pronounced immune response. Moreover, endotoxins interact with the vascular endothelium and stimulate the complement and blood coagulation pathways. [Harm et al. 2016, Bononi et al. 2008]

There is a trend of increasing endotoxin-like activity with an increasing duration of infusion. It is known that endotoxin-like activity is not detected in filtrate eluted from contaminated filter sets during the initial 24 hrs of infusion. Levels above baseline values are significantly detectable after 24 hours. [Holmes et al. 1980] Only filters that retain endotoxins can safely be used for more than 24 hours. [Richards & Grassby 1994]

### ENDOTOXIN RETENTION WITH POSITIVELY CHARGED FILTER MEMBRANES

While intact bacteria can be captured using a 0.2 µm filter, the retention of endotoxins is more challenging. Endotoxin is negatively charged at pH above 2. Therefore, bacterial endotoxins from infusion solutions can only be removed with positively charged filter membranes that electrostatically attract or retain endotoxins. [Bononi et al. 2008, Bethune et al. 2001, Gerba & Hou 1985, Levin 1982] Several clinical studies evaluated in-line filters for endotoxin retention and concluded that positively charged membrane filter can retain endotoxin over 96 hours. [Bononi et al. 2008, Bethune et al. 2001, Barnett & Cosslett 1996] Bononi et al. performed endotoxin measurements on the filtrates from positively charged filters and indicated that the amounts of endotoxin which had passed through the filters during the infusion experiment time were less than 0.03 EU/ml for 96 hours. [Bononi et al. 2008]

#### CONCLUSION

Only filters that retain endotoxins can safely be used for more than 24 hours. Positively charged filter membranes have been introduced on the market to protect patients from inadvertent microbial <u>and</u> associated endotoxin contamination. Several clinical studies showed that only filters equipped with a positively charged membrane were able to retain the endotoxin. A change interval of 96 hours is recommended due to the fact that positively charged membrane load may be exhausted after 96 hours.

A non-charged-filter (inclusive filter needle and straw) does not have an endotoxin retentive function and may be used for a maximum of 24 hours since gram-negative-based endotoxin activity is already detectable after 24 hours.

### **REFERENCES**

Barnett M.I., Cosslett A.G. Endotoxin retention capabilities of positively charged nylon and positively charged polysulphone membrane intravenous filters. Pharmaceutical Sciences 1996; 2(7): 319–320

Bethune K, Allwood M, Grainger C, Wormleighton C; British Pharmaceutical Nutrition Group Working Party. Use of filters during the preparation and administration of parenteral nutrition: position paper and guidelines prepared by a British pharmaceutical nutrition group working party. Nutrition. 2001 May;17(5):403-8

Bononi I, Balatti V, Gaeta S, Tognon M. Gram-negative bacterial lipopolysaccharide retention by a positively charged new-generation filter. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008 Oct;74(20):6470-2

Erfani Y, Rasti A, Janani L. Prevalence of Gram-negative bacteria in ventilator-associated pneumonia in neonatal intensive care units: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open. 2016 Oct 11;6(10):e012298

Gerba P, Hou K. Endotoxin removal by charge-modified filters. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1985 Dec;50(6):1375-7

Harm S, Gabor F, Hartmann J. Low-dose polymyxin: an option for therapy of Gram-negative sepsis. Innate Immun. 2016 May;22(4):274–83

Holmes CJ, Kundsin RB, Ausman RK, Walter CW. Potential hazards associated with microbial contamination of in-line filters during intravenous therapy. J Clin Microbiol. 1980 Dec;12(6):725-31

INS. Infusion Nursing Society. Administration set change / Transfusion therapy. Journal of intravenous nursing. 2016; S84-85, S135-137

Kaase M. Carbapenemases in gram-negative bacteria. Current data and trends of resistance resulting from the work of national reference centres. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz 2012; 55: 1401-04

Kuenzli E, Jaeger VK, Frei R, Neumayr A, DeCrom S, Haller S, Blum J, Widmer AF, Furrer H, Battegay M, Endimiani A, Hatz C. High colonization rates of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Escherichia coli in Swiss travellers to South Asia- a prospective observational multicentre cohort study looking at epidemiology, microbiology and risk factors. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14: 528. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-528

Levin J. The limulus test and bacterial endotoxins: some perspectives. In: Watson SW, Levin J, Novitsky TJ, eds. Endotoxins and their detection with the limulus amebocyte lysate test. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1982:7

NICE. Infection – Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in primary and community care. 2012

O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA et al. Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-Related Infections. CDC. Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-Related Infections. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011

Phillips LD, Gorski LA. Infusion equipment – Filters. In: Phillips LD, Gorski LA. Manual of I.I therapeutics – evidence-based practice of infusion therapy. Philadelphia: Davis Company. 2014; 265–269

RCN. Royal College of Nursing. Standards for infusion therapy. 2016

Richards C, Grassby PF. A comparison of the endotoxin Retentive abilities of two '96-h' in-line intravenous filters. J Clin Pharm Ther 1994; 19: 199-202

Riesner HJ, Friemert B, Lang P, Palm HG. Verändertes Keimspektrum von Komplexwunden bei der Behandlung von Verletzten aus Konfliktländern in Deutschland. Zeitschrift fur Orthopadie und Unfallchirurgie 2017; doi 10.1055/s-0042-124418

Rockel C, Hartung T. Systematic review of membrane components of gram-positive bacteria responsible as pyrogens for inducing human monocyte/macrophage cytokine release. Front Pharmacol. 2012 Apr 17;3:56. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2012.00056

B. Braun Melsungen AG | 34209 Melsungen | Germany Tel. +49 5661 71-0 | Fax +49 5661 71-2732 | www.bbraun.com